

TABLE OF CONTENTS



	PAGE
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF TABLES.....	iv
LIST OF FIGURES.....	v
LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	vi
APPENDICES	vi
P PREFACE	P-1
P.1 LEAD AGENCIES, COOPERATING AGENCIES, AND PARTICIPATING AGENCIES	P-1
P.2 HOW THIS FINAL EIS WILL BE USED	P-2
P.3 ORGANIZATION OF THIS FINAL EIS	P-2
P.4 HISTORY OF PROJECT	P-3
P.4.1 PREVIOUS STUDIES OF MONROE BYPASS.....	P-4
P.4.2 PREVIOUS STUDIES OF MONROE CONNECTOR	P-4
P.4.3 MONROE BYPASS AND MONROE CONNECTOR COMBINED	P-5
P.4.4 ACTIVITIES SINCE THE DRAFT EIS	P-5
PC SPECIAL PROJECT COMMITMENTS	PC-1
1 DRAFT EIS SUMMARY AND UPDATES	1-1
1.1 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION.....	1-1
1.1.1 PROPOSED ACTION	1-1
1.1.2 SUMMARY OF NEED FOR PROPOSED ACTION	1-2
1.1.3 PURPOSE OF PROPOSED ACTION.....	1-3
1.1.4 PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY.....	1-3
1.1.5 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS.....	1-4
1.1.6 SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS.....	1-4
1.1.7 TRANSPORTATION AND LAND USE PLANS.....	1-4
1.1.8 ROADWAY CONDITIONS AND OPERATIONS	1-5
1.2 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	1-6
1.2.1 ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT AND SCREENING.....	1-6
1.2.2 ALTERNATIVES SCREENING RESULTS.....	1-7
1.2.2.1 Qualitative First Screening of Alternative Concepts	1-7
1.2.2.2 Qualitative Second Screening and Quantitative Third Screening	1-9
1.2.3 DETAILED STUDY ALTERNATIVES	1-10
1.2.4 RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE.....	1-12
1.3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....	1-15
1.3.1 HUMAN ENVIRONMENT	1-15
1.3.1.1 Socio-Economic Characteristics	1-15
1.3.1.2 Community Resources	1-16

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.3.1.3	Land Use and Transportation Planning	1-17
1.3.1.4	Right-of-Way Acquisitions and Relocations	1-18
1.3.1.5	Environmental Justice	1-18
1.3.2	PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	1-19
1.3.2.1	Noise	1-19
1.3.2.2	Air Quality	1-20
1.3.2.3	Farmland	1-23
1.3.2.4	Utilities and Infrastructure	1-26
1.3.2.5	Visual Resources	1-27
1.3.2.6	Hazardous Materials	1-27
1.3.2.7	Floodplains and Floodways	1-28
1.3.3	CULTURAL RESOURCES	1-29
1.3.3.1	Historic Architectural Resources	1-29
1.3.3.2	Archaeological Resources	1-29
1.3.3.3	Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Resources	1-30
1.3.4	NATURAL RESOURCES.....	1-31
1.3.4.1	Soils, Geology, and Mineral Resources	1-31
1.3.4.2	Water Resources	1-33
1.3.4.3	Natural Communities and Wildlife	1-35
1.3.4.4	Water Resources in Federal Jurisdiction	1-36
1.3.4.5	Protected Species	1-38
1.3.5	INDIRECT AND CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	1-39
1.3.6	OTHER IMPACTS	1-40
1.3.6.1	Irretrievable and Irreversible Commitment of Resources	1-40
1.3.6.2	Relationship between Short-Term and Long-Term Impacts	1-41
1.4	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND AGENCY COORDINATION PRIOR TO THE DRAFT EIS.....	1-41
1.4.1	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT.....	1-41
1.4.1.1	Citizens Informational Workshops	1-41
1.4.1.2	Local Officials Meeting	1-42
1.4.1.3	Open Houses	1-42
1.4.1.4	Small Group Meetings	1-42
1.4.1.5	Other Outreach Efforts	1-42
1.4.2	AGENCY COORDINATION.....	1-43
1.4.2.1	Scoping Letter	1-43
1.4.2.2	Notice of Intent	1-43
1.4.2.3	Section 6002 Project Coordination Plan	1-43
1.4.2.4	Coordination with MUMPO	1-44
1.4.2.5	Turnpike Environmental Agency Coordination Meetings	1-44
1.5	UNRESOLVED ISSUES IN THE DRAFT EIS.....	1-44
2	PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	2-1
2.1	DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	2-1
2.1.1	GENERAL DESCRIPTION.....	2-1
2.1.2	DESIGN CRITERIA	2-1
2.1.3	TOLLING INFORMATION	2-2
2.2	REASONS FOR SELECTING DSA D AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE.....	2-3
2.3	DESIGN REFINEMENTS TO THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	2-6
2.3.1	DESIGN REFINEMENTS	2-6
2.3.1.1	Forest Park Subdivision	2-6
2.3.1.2	Beverly Drive	2-7
2.3.1.3	Bonterra Village	2-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.3.1.4	Unionville – Indian Trail Road Interchange	2-8
2.3.1.5	Maple Hill Road	2-8
2.3.1.6	Austin Chaney Road Interchange / McIntyre Road	2-8
2.3.2	SERVICE ROADS	2-9
2.3.2.1	Service Road Evaluation Methodology and Design Assumptions	2-9
2.3.2.2	Proposed Service Roads	2-10
2.3.3	AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION OF IMPACTS TO WATERS OF THE US	2-11
2.3.4	COST ESTIMATES FOR THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	2-13
2.3.5	UPDATED TRAFFIC FORECASTS AND OPERATIONS ANALYSIS	2-13
2.4	ADDITIONAL STUDIES OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	2-15
2.5	IMPACTS OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	2-16
2.5.1	HUMAN ENVIRONMENT	2-16
2.5.1.1	Socio-Economic Resources	2-16
2.5.1.2	Community Resources	2-16
2.5.1.3	Land Use and Transportation Planning	2-19
2.5.1.4	Right-of-Way Acquisition and Relocations	2-20
2.5.1.5	Environmental Justice	2-20
2.5.2	PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT.....	2-20
2.5.2.1	Noise	2-20
2.5.2.2	Air Quality	2-23
2.5.2.3	Farmland	2-24
2.5.2.4	Utilities and Infrastructure	2-25
2.5.2.5	Visual Resources	2-26
2.5.2.6	Hazardous Materials	2-27
2.5.2.7	Floodplains and Floodways	2-28
2.5.3	CULTURAL RESOURCES AND SECTION 4(f) AND SECTION 6(f) RESOURCES	2-29
2.5.3.1	Historic Architectural Resources	2-29
2.5.3.2	Archaeological Resources	2-30
2.5.3.3	Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Resources	2-31
2.5.4	NATURAL ENVIRONMENT.....	2-31
2.5.4.1	Soils and Mineral Resources	2-31
2.5.4.2	Water Resources	2-31
2.5.4.3	Natural Communities and Wildlife	2-32
2.5.4.4	Water Resources in Federal Jurisdiction	2-33
2.5.4.5	Protected Species	2-35
2.5.5	INDIRECT AND CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	2-38
2.5.5.1	Quantitative Indirect and Cumulative Effects Assessment	2-38
2.5.5.2	Water Quality Modeling	2-49
3	COMMENTS AND COORDINATION.....	3-1
3.1	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	3-1
3.1.1	AVAILABILITY OF DRAFT EIS FOR REVIEW	3-1
3.1.2	PRE-HEARING OPEN HOUSES AND PUBLIC HEARINGS	3-1
3.1.2.1	Advertisement of Pre-Hearing Open Houses and Public Hearings	3-1
3.1.2.2	Pre-Hearing Open Houses and Local Officials Meeting	3-1
3.1.2.3	Public Hearings	3-2
3.1.2.4	Public Comment Period	3-2
3.1.3	AESTHETICS COMMITTEE	3-3
3.2	AGENCY COORDINATION	3-3
3.2.1	TEAC MEETINGS.....	3-3
3.2.2	SELECTION OF DSA D AS THE LEAST ENVIRONMENTALLY DAMAGING PRACTICABLE ALTERNATIVE.....	3-4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.2.3	COORDINATION WITH MUMPO	3-5
3.3	SUBSTANTIVE COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS AND RESPONSES	3-6
3.3.1	RESPONSES TO GENERALIZED COMMENTS ON PURPOSE AND NEED	3-7
3.3.2	RESPONSES TO GENERALIZED COMMENTS ON RANGE OF ALTERNATIVES	3-8
3.3.3	RESPONSES TO GENERALIZED COMMENTS ON AIR QUALITY.....	3-18
3.3.4	RESPONSES TO GENERALIZED COMMENTS ON INDIRECT AND CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	3-20
3.3.5	RESPONSES TO GENERALIZED COMMENTS ON PROTECTED SPECIES	3-23
4	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	4-1
4.1	FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION.....	4-1
4.2	NORTH CAROLINA TURNPIKE AUTHORITY (A DIVISION OF THE NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION)	4-1
4.3	NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	4-1
4.4	PRIVATE CONSULTING FIRMS.....	4-2
5	LIST OF AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS, AND PERSONS TO WHOM COPIES OF THE STATEMENT ARE SENT	5-1
5.1	FEDERAL AGENCIES	5-1
5.2	REGIONAL OFFICES OF FEDERAL AGENCIES.....	5-1
5.3	STATE AGENCIES	5-1
5.4	LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES	5-2
5.5	PUBLIC REVIEW LOCATIONS	5-2
6	REFERENCES.....	6-1
6.1	REFERENCES.....	6-1
6.2	SUPPORTING PROJECT DOCUMENTATION	6-5
6.2.1	SUPPORTING PROJECT DOCUMENTATION PRIOR TO PUBLICATION OF THE DRAFT EIS	6-5
6.2.2	SUPPORTING PROJECT DOCUMENTATION AFTER PUBLICATION OF THE DRAFT EIS	6-6
6.3	LIST OF ACRONYMS	6-7

LIST OF TABLES

Special Project Commitments

PC-1	Special Project Commitments.....	PC-1
------	----------------------------------	------

Section 1

1-1	Qualitative First Screening – Ability of Alternative Concepts to Meet Purpose and Need	1-8
1-2	Detailed Study Alternatives	1-10
1-3	Prime and Important Farmland Soils in the Detailed Study Alternatives.....	1-24
1-4	Impacts to Prime and Important Farmland Soils	1-25
1-5	Summary of Major Drainage Structures and Floodway and Floodplain Crossings .	1-29
1-6	Soils Within the Detailed Study Alternatives	1-31
1-7	Active NPDES Permits with Discharges to Streams in Project Study Area	1-34
1-8	Impacts to Waters of the US.....	1-37
1-9	Federally-Protected Species in Union and Mecklenburg Counties	1-38

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 2

2-1	Service Road Recommendations.....	2-10
2-2	Changes in Jurisdictional Resource Impacts Due to Design Refinements.....	2-12
2-3	Changes in Jurisdictional Resource Impacts Since the Draft EIS.....	2-12
2-4	Cost Estimates for Preferred Alternative.....	2-13
2-5	Potential Neighborhood Impacts for the Preferred Alternative.....	2-17
2-6	Impacts to Churches for the Preferred Alternative.....	2-18
2-7	2035 Noise Contours and Impact Summary for the Preferred Alternative.....	2-21
2-8	Preliminary Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers for the Preferred Alternative.....	2-22
2-9	Impacts to Prime and Important Farmland Soils for the Preferred Alternative.....	2-25
2-10	Potential Impacts from the Preferred Alternative to Terrestrial Communities.....	2-32
2-11	Potential Impacts from the Preferred Alternative to Waters of the US.....	2-33
2-12	Scenarios Considered in the Quantitative ICE Analysis.....	2-39
2-13	Growth in the Study Area, 1990 to 2008.....	2-39
2-14	List of Interviews Completed in August 2009.....	2-40
2-15	Percent Impervious Surface.....	2-43
2-16	Changes in Land Use Comparison.....	2-44
2-17	Comparison of Percent of Impervious Cover.....	2-46

Section 3

3-1	TEAC Meeting Summaries.....	3-3
3-2	MUMPO Meeting Summaries.....	3-5
3-3	MUMPO Technical Coordinating Committee Meeting Summaries.....	3-5
3-4	Desirable Average Travel Speeds During Peak Traffic Conditions.....	3-10
3-5	TSM Alternative – Concept 2.....	3-13

Section 6

6-1	List of Acronyms.....	6-7
-----	-----------------------	-----

LIST OF FIGURES (Figures located at the end of each chapter)

Section P

P-1	Monroe Bypass Detailed Study Alternatives and Preferred Alternative
P-2	Monroe Connector Preliminary Corridors and Detailed Study Alternatives

Section 1

1-1	Project Study Area
1-2	(a-c) Detailed Study Alternatives
1-3	(a-c) Neighborhoods

Section 2

2-1	Preferred Alternative DSA D
2-2	New Location Alternative Typical Cross Section
2-3	(a-t) Preferred Alternative Functional Designs
2-4	(a-h) Service Road Functional Designs
2-5	(a-f) Toll Collection Plan
2-6	Barrier Evaluation Area – Barrier N4-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 2-7 (a-c) Noise Impact Assessment Information
- 2-8 Study Area for the Quantitative ICE
- 2-9 Study Area Watersheds
- 2-10 Baseline (2007) to 2030 No Build Land Use
- 2-11 Comparison of Land Use: 2030 Preferred Alternative to 2030 No Build

Section 3

- 3-1 Aesthetic Design Concept A

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Section 1

- 1-1 National MSAT Emission Trends 1999 – 2050 For Vehicles Operating On Roadways Using USEPA MOBILE6.2 Model

APPENDICES

- A. Draft EIS Errata
- B. Response to Comments on the Draft EIS
- C. Summary of Impacts
- D. Resolutions and Correspondence
- E. Mobile Source Air Toxics – Discussion of Impacts
- F. Jurisdictional Resource Attribute and Impact Tables
- G. Qualitative Indirect and Cumulative Effects Assessment
- H. Quantitative Indirect and Cumulative Effects Analysis – Land Use
- I. Quantitative Indirect and Cumulative Effects Analysis – Water Quality

NOTE: A CD of the approved Draft EIS is included inside the front cover of Volume #2 of this Final EIS. It can also be viewed at www.ncturnpike.org/projects/monroe/deis.asp.