

Moore County CTP Presentation
The Next Steps
Moore County Transportation Committee Meeting Minutes
Moore County Senior Enrichment Center
October 24, 2012; 2:00 – 4:00 PM

Attendees Present:

Scott W. Walston, PE	NCDOT - TPB
Frances D. Bisby, EI	NCDOT – TPB
Nastasha Earle	NCDOT - TPB
Matt Day, AICP	Triangle J Council of Governments, Triangle Area RPO
Debra Ensminger, CZO	Moore County, Planning and Community Development
Jeremy Rust	Moore County, Planning and Community Development
Jimmy Melton	Moore County
Carol Sparks	Carthage
Ralph Harris	Aberdeen
Pat Ann McMurray	Aberdeen
Carol Lucas	Cameron
Landon Russell	Southern Pines
Ray MacKay	Seven Lakes
Milton Dowdy	Carthage
Chris Smithson	Southern Pines
Nancy Roy Fiorillo	Pinehurst
Jerry Williams	
Maurice Holland, Jr.	Midway Community Association
John Cashion	Pinehurst
George Erickson	
Earl Ingram	
Stephen Later	
O'Linda Gillis	
Jeffery Sheffield	Robbins Town Manager, MCTC-TCC
David M. Wilson	
Vic Koos	
Brian Deaton	
Richard Moore	
Fred Walden	Southern Pines
Bruce Gould	
Sue Shuster	Whispering Pines-Alternate
Richard Hancock	NCDOT-Division
Joe McDonald	
Robbie Farrell	Aberdeen-Alternate
Karen O'Hara	Carthage-Alternate
Lydia Cleveland	Moore County-Staff
Jeremy Sparrow	Moore County-Staff
Bob Kissenger	
Bob Stocting	

Introductions: Mr. Jimmy Melton, Moore County Commissioner, opened the meeting with introductions and traditional salutations. The meeting was turned over to the NCDOT project engineer assigned to the Moore county CTP, Frances Bisby. NCDOT provided brief overview of the agenda listing the following points: today's meeting's agenda; what has happened since January 25, 2012 meeting; response to re-classification request; Secretary's visit; articles in The Pilot; Charrette report late delivery and the results.

Presentation: The presentation and all associated handouts can be viewed on the project page with summary outline provided below: <http://www.ncdot.gov/projects/moorechoices/>.

I. Summary of Significant Events

- a. Last Meeting – Visioning and Model Network
- b. Model, Milestones, Origin and Destination Study, Base Year and Future Year
- c. SHC Revision Request – US 1 corridor
- d. NCDOT Response and what it means going forward
- e. Providing clarity – Why and setting the record straight

II. The 2011 November Charrette Report

- a. Explanation for delay of November 2011 Charrette Report
- b. Report organization: report, databases, and supporting appendices.
- c. Background, purpose, and data collection
 - Duplicate participants
 - Title VI/environmental justice communities
 - Demographics county versus participants
 - Aggregate assessment of participants
 - Moore County Charrette Participation Screening Map
 - Conclusions

III. Questions and Comments

1. Question: Will U.S. 1 Corridor be held off until the County agrees on it?
Answer: The CTP is developed at the systems level. So, the plans for the CTP cannot move forward until we know how to connect with US 1. We will know more in January when the results of existing conditions are shown. For now, everything is moving forward together.
2. Question: Why do the red dots on the Moore County Charrette Participation Screening Map makes it seem like everyone was from Southern Pines?
Answer: The map was made by using the addresses and zip codes from the sign-in provided by participant. Best efforts were made to provide street addresses when PO Boxes were provided.

3. Question: How is the location tracked if the cell phone is not in use?
Answer: Cell phones have built-in GPS device. More information will be provided about the technology as we move forward.
4. Question: What are the dates for when the conclusion will be made for everything?
Answer: There is not a date at this time. There are several other milestones that need to happen first so a deadline has not been set. Alluded to the milestones set earlier.
5. Public Comment: Encouraged to see the results and feel that Moore County's priorities about use of existing over new location have been heard. Citizen stressed the need to look beyond Seven Lakes to the west side of Foxfire for the solution to the Western Connector.
6. Question: How is the construction on NC 211 going to impact the Origin and Destination Study since traffic is avoiding the area due to construction.
Answer: Concern noted.
7. Request by citizen advocate for MCTC meetings to be held when and where residents who were working or were mobility impaired could more easily attend.
Answer: Concern noted.
8. Question: Will the municipalities and county get a copy of the Charrette Report?
Answer: Representatives here will be given a copy and all the information is available on the NCDOT website.

With no further questions, the meeting was adjourned.

